

**JUL 11 2014** 

Vigo G. Nielsen, Jr. Nielsen, Merksamer, Parrinello, Gross & Leoni 2350 Kerner Boulevard Suite 250 San Rafael, California 94901

RE: MUR 6734

**Don Scifres** 

Dear Mr. Nielsen:

On May 14, 2013, the Federal Election Commission notified your client, Don Scifres, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the complaint was forwarded to your client at that time.

Upon further review of this matter, including the recent Supreme Court decision in FEC v. McCutcheon, the Commission, on July 2, 2014, voted to dismiss this matter. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009).

If you have any questions, please contact Elena Paoli, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1548.

Sincerely,

Mark Allen

Work all

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure: Factual and Legal Analysis

l	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
2 3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
4			
5	RESPONDENTS:		MUR: 6734
6			
7	John Canning	Vincent Mai	•
8	Jeffrey Hurt	Prem Reddy	
9	Donald Simms	Robert Beal	
10	Susan Simms	Richard Uihlein	
11	David Wallace	Philip Geier	
12	Robert Reynolds	L. Scott Frantz	
13	David Boies	John Cooney	
14	Thomas Cushman	Elizabeth Wiskemann	
15	Joe Murphy	Edward Donaghy	
16	Thomas Fay	Michael Keiser	
17	Thomas Girardi	John Roeser	
18	Amy Goldman	Josephine Freede	
19	John Harris	Don Scifres	
20	David Herro Carl A. Davis	Timothy Travis William Smithburg	
21	Kurt Wheeler	Alan Sieroty	
22 23	Ruit Wheelel	Alan Sicioty	
23 24			
25	I. INTRODUCTION		•
26	This matter was generated by	a complaint filed with the Fed	leral Election Commission
27	("Commission") by Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington and Melanie Sloan		
28	("CREW") and the Campaign Legal Center and Paul S. Ryan ("CLC") alleging that 32		
29	individuals violated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(3)(A) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.5(b)(1)(i) by exceeding the		
30	\$42,600 aggregate biennial limit for contributions to candidate committees during the 2012		
31	election cycle.		
32	II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
33	The Act and Commission regu	ulations set biennial limits on	the aggregate amount that ar
34	individual may contribute to all authorized candidate committees during each two-year period		
35	beginning on January 1 of an odd-numbered year and ending on December 31 of the next even		
36	numbered year. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(3)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 110.5(b)(1)(i).		

MUR 6734 (Canning, et al.) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 2

- On April 2, 2014, the Supreme Court invalidated 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(3), holding that the
- 2 aggregate biennial contribution limits do not further the government's interest in preventing
- actual or apparent quid pro quo corruption. FEC v. McCutcheon, 134 S. Ct. 1434 (2014).
- 4 Accordingly, we dismiss the complaint and close the file.